# OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **STEVENS POND**, **MANCHESTER**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations:

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the lake/pond this season! Your monitoring group sampled **five** times this season and has done so for many years! As you know, with multiple sampling events each season, we will be able to more accurately detect changes in water quality. Keep up the good work!

While reading this report, it is important to keep in mind that **STEVENS POND** is located under Route I-93 and near the Route 101 interchange. It has been impacted by untreated highway runoff for many years.

#### FIGURE INTERPRETATION

> Figure 1 and Table 1: The graphs in Figure 1 (Appendix A) show the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the lake/pond has been monitored through the program.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. The mean (average) summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is  $7.02~\text{mg/m}^3$ .

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration *increased* from April to June, and then *remained* relatively stable from June to September. (Please note that the pond was not sampled in May or July). The chlorophyll-a concentration in April was less than the state mean and on the

June, August, and September sampling events was greater than the state mean. Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a variable in-lake chlorophyll-a trend since monitoring began. Specifically, the mean concentration has fluctuated between approximately 4.28 and 10.32 mg/m³ since 2000.

After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean chlorophyll-a concentration since monitoring began.

While algae are naturally present in all lakes/ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes/ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Algal concentrations may increase with an increase in nonpoint sources of phosphorus loading from the watershed, or inlake sources of phosphorus loading (such as phosphorus releases from the sediments). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate residents about how activities within the watershed can affect phosphorus loading and lake/pond quality.

Figure 2 and Table 3: The graphs in Figure 2 (Appendix A) show historical and current year data for lake/pond transparency. Table 3 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the lake/pond has been monitored through the program.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. The mean (average) summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.7 meters.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency *decreased* from **April** to **June**, and then *increased gradually* from **June** to **September**. (Again, please note that the pond was not sampled in May or July.) The transparency on **each sampling event** was *less than* the state mean.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a *stable* transparency trend since monitoring began.

Specifically, the mean transparency has **remained between approximately 2.55 and 2.96 meters** since **2000.** 

As previously discussed, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes erosion of sediments into lakes/ponds and streams, thus decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, lake/pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the lake/pond. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from DES upon request.

Figure 3 and Table 8: The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amount of phosphorus in the epilimnion (the upper layer) and the hypolimnion (the lower layer); the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the lake/pond has joined the program.

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Too much phosphorus in a lake/pond can lead to increases in plant and algal growth over time. The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration *increased* from April to June, and then *decreased gradually* from June to September. The phosphorus concentration in April was *approximately equal to* the state median, and on the June, August, and September sampling events was *greater than* the state median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration *increased* from April to June, *remained stable* from June to August, and then *decreased greatly* from August to September. The phosphorus concentration on each sampling event was *much greater than* the state median.

The turbidity and total phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was *elevated* on each of the sampling events this

season. Historically, the turbidity and phosphorus levels have been *elevated* in the hypolimnion on most sampling events. This suggests that the lake bottom is composed of a thick layer of organic material that is easily disturbed and also that *internal phosphorus loading* is occurring in the hypolimnion.

The historical data show that the 2004 mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **greater than** the state median and that the hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **much greater than** the state median.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the epilimnion shows a *relatively stable* phosphorus trend. Specifically, the mean annual concentration has *remained between approximately 17 and 25 ug/L* since monitoring began in *2000*.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the hypolimnion shows an *increasing (meaning worsening)* phosphorus trend since 2000.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about its sources and how excessive amounts can adversely impact the ecology and value of lakes and ponds. Phosphorus sources within a lake or pond's watershed typically include septic systems, animal waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, and natural wetlands.

#### TABLE INTERPRETATION

#### > Table 2: Phytoplankton

Table 2 (Appendix B) lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the lake/pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample. In addition, this table has been enhanced this year to include the overall phytoplankton cell abundance rating of the sample. The overall phytoplankton cell abundance in a sample is calculated using a formula based on the relationship that DES biologists have observed over the years regarding phytoplankton concentrations, algal concentrations, and biological productivity in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. A mathematical equation is used to classify the overall abundance of phytoplankton cells in a sample into the following categories: sparse, scattered, moderate, common, abundant, and very abundant. Generally, the more phytoplankton cells there are in a sample, the

higher the chlorophyll concentration and the higher the biological productivity of the lake.

In general, the dominant phytoplankton species observed this season were golden-brown algae in April and June, and dinoflagellates in August and September.

For a list of specific species observed, please review Table 2.

The overall abundance of rating phytoplankton cells in the sample was calculated to be *common* in **April** and *very abundant* in **August** and **September**.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to the "Biological Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire's less productive lakes and ponds.

#### > Table 2: Cyanobacteria

A small amount of the cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria* was observed in the June plankton sample this season. *This species, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.* (Please refer to the "Biological Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria).

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased (this is often caused by rain events) and favorable environmental conditions occur (such as a period of sunny, warm weather).

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the lake's/pond's delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the lake/pond by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the lake/pond shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the lake/pond in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to "pile" cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the lake/pond. If a fall bloom

occurs, please collect a sample (any clean jar or bottle will be suitable) and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

#### Table 4: pH

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The mean pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this season ranged from **6.69** in the hypolimnion to **7.15** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is *slightly acidic* near the pond bottom and *slightly basic* near the surface.

It is important to point out that the pH in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was *lower (more acidic)* than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the lake bottom is likely due the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition (from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates) in New Hampshire, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase lake/pond pH.

#### > Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity

Table 5 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the lake/pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The mean ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 6.6~mg/L, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (the upper layer) was **29.1 mg/L** this season, which is **much greater than** the state mean. In addition, this indicates that the lake/pond **has a low vulnerability** to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation). This elevated buffering capacity may be influenced by the sodium content in the pond.

#### > Table 6: Conductivity

Table 6 (Appendix B) presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current (which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column). The mean conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **59.4 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean annual conductivity in the epilimnion at the deep spot this season was **860.40**, which is **approximately 20% less than** the mean concentration in 2003. However, the mean concentration is still **much greater than** the state mean and is likely due to de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months and untreated stormwater runoff from the highway.

Please read this year's Special Topic Article, "Conductivity is on the rise in New Hampshire's Lakes and Ponds: What is causing the increase and what can be done?" which is found in Appendix D of this report. This article may help your association understand what types of activities can lead to elevated conductivity and chloride levels and what residents can do to minimize this type of pollution.

#### > Table 8: Total Phosphorus

Table 8 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae's ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

Inlet sampling is not typically conducted at Stevens Pond.

We recommend that your group conduct rain event sampling to determine sources of stormwater runoff to the pond.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data
Table 9 (Appendix B) shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature
profile(s) for the 2004 sampling season. Table 10 (Appendix B) shows
the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the
hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to
fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling
organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters"
section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **relatively high** at all depths sampled at the deep spot of the lake/pond on each of the sampling events this season. Typically, shallow lakes and ponds will have relatively high amounts of oxygen at all depths. This is due to continual lake mixing and diffusion of oxygen into the bottom waters induced by wind and wave action.

#### > Table 11: Turbidity

Table 11 (Appendix B) lists the current year and historical data for inlake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As discussed previously, the turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample *continued to be elevated* (ranging from 3.63 to 35.2 NTUs) this season. This suggests that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. In addition, the elevated hypolimnetic turbidity may be influenced by the high levels of sodium and chloride in the bottom sediment.

#### > Table 12: Bacteria (E.coli)

Table 12 lists only the historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. (Please note that Table 12 now lists the maximum and minimum results for all past sampling seasons.) *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage MAY be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms MAY also be present.

It should be noted that bacteria sampling was not conducted this year. If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct  $E.\ coli$  testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

#### Table 13: Chloride

The chloride ion (Cl-) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that *elevated* chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted *acute and chronic* chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

Each of the three layers at the deep spot was sampled for chloride this season. The results in the **epilimnion** ranged from **181 to 282 mg/L**, in the **metalimnion** ranged from **182 to 252 mg/L**, and in the **hypolimnion** ranged from **262 to 312 mg/L**. Results **greater than** 230 mg/L exceeded the state chronic chloride criteria and **all results** were **much greater than** what is typically observed in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds.

This data suggests that a **chemocline** is present in **Stevens Pond**.

#### > Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data

This table is a new addition to the Annual Report. This table lists the most current sampling season results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year "raw" (meaning unprocessed) data. The results are sorted by station, depth zone (epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion) and parameter.

#### > Table 15: Station Table

This table is a new addition to the Annual Report. As of the Spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past (and are most familiar with), an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. For each station sampled at your lake or pond, Table 15 identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

#### DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

#### Sample Receipt Checklist:

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if the volunteer monitors followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future reoccurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an *excellent* job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

#### **USEFUL RESOURCES**

Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire's Ecosystems, NHDES Fact Sheet ARD-32, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm.

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, NHDES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

Best Management Practices for Well Drilling Operations, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WSEB-21-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ws/ws-21-4.htm.

Canada Geese Facts and Management Options, NHDES Fact Sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm.

Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms, NHDES Fact Sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm.

Freshwater Jellyfish In New Hampshire, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-5, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-51/htm.

Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm.

*IPM: An Alternative to Pesticides, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-3, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-3.htm.* 

Iron Bacteria in Surface Water, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-18, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-18.htm.

Lake Foam, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-5.htm.

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm.

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm.

Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, NHDES Fact Sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm.

Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites, NHDES Fact Sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm.


Swimmers Itch, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-2.htm.


Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or www.nalms.org.

Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm.


## Stevens Pond, Manchester


Figure 1. Monthly and Historical Chlorophyll-a Results





## Stevens Pond, Manchester





### Stevens Pond, Manchester

